

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,034	09/22/2003	Rainer Bosse	33544/US	1433
759	90 10/19/2006		EXAMINER	
David E. Bruh	n		KOHARSKI, C	HRISTOPHER
DORSEY & WI	HITNEY LLP perty Department		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Street, Suite 1500		3763	
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(
_ •		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/668,034	BOSSE ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Christopher D. Koharski	3763	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•		
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 A This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro		
Dienositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-22 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmer	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	r (PTO-413)	
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate	
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Examiner acknowledges the amended claims 1, 6, 7, 16, 17 and new claims 18-22 filed with the RCE on 8/31/2006. Currently claims 1,2 and 5-22 are pending for examination.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks filed, filed 8/31/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1,2 and 5-22 under Vaillancourt (5,591,138) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further search and consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Nathan et al. (5,374,255) and Castillo et al. (5,336,199) (see below).

Claim Objections

Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: Regarding claim 2, the "...it..." in relation to the latch is vague and indefinite; Examiner assumes the latch refers to the cover. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3763

Claims 1, 5-6, and 18-21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nathan et al. (5,384,255). Nathan et al. discloses a needle cover assembly for syringes.

Regarding claims 1, 5-6 and 18-21, Nathan et al. discloses a cannula cover for an injector (Figure 10) that can be axially retracted to expose a cannula (110) with a closed front and a sealing device (166) that is coupled to the interior of the cannula cover and opens via radial movement of the sealing device made up of two tongues from the center of the cannula passage opening. Wherein the sealing tongues move away from each other and are fixed at the rear portion of the cannula cover and are moveable via a slaving means (174) by the cover's rotation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 5-10, 12, 15-18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Castillo et al. (5,336,199). Castillo et al. discloses a medical needle and needle sheath assembly.

Regarding claims 1, 7-11, 12, 15-18 and 22, Castillo et al. discloses a a cannula cover (Figures 3-6) that can be axially retracted prior to use to expose a cannula (14) with a substantially closed facing front wherein a sealing device (26) is coupled to the interior of the device that can expose the cannula opening via radial retraction of the sealing device (Figure 4), wherein the sealing device (or strip) comprises a covering flap (26) that can be slid away in a longitudinal guide. A spring element is provided (40) to bias the cover and a spring (52) element to bias the opening member.

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nathan et al. (or Castillo et al.) in view of Vaillancourt (5,591,138). Nathan et al. (or Castillo et al.) meets the claim limitations as described above except for a rotational latch.

However, Vaillancourt teaches a protected needle assembly.

Regarding claim 2, Vaillancourt teaches a needle assembly with a latch 21-24) that control rotational movement (Figures 1-5).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to add the latch of Vaillancourt to the system of Nathan et al. (or Castillo et al.) because the addition of latch allows for additional cover movement control. The references are

Art Unit: 3763

analogous in the art and with the instant invention; therefore, a combination is proper. Therefore, one skilled in the art would have combined the teachings in the references in light of the disclosure of Vaillancourt.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nathan et al. (or Castillo et al.) in view of Foster (6,217,559). Nathan et al. (or Castillo et al.) meets the claim limitations as described above except rotational threading.

However, Foster teaches an automatic safety syringe.

Regarding claims 13-14, Foster teaches a threaded insert (50) that is coupled to the cover and controls rotation movement (Figures 1-4, col 2, ln 1-69).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to add the threaded element to the system of Nathan et al. (or Castillo et al.) because the addition of the threaded element allows for controlled axial movement. The references are analogous in the art and with the instant invention; therefore, a combination is proper. Therefore, one skilled in the art would have combined the teachings in the references in light of the disclosure of Foster.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Koharski whose telephone number is 571-272-7230. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am to 4:00pm EST.

Art Unit: 3763

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Date: 10/6/06

Christopher D. Koharski AU 3763